A multi-modal proteomics strategy for characterizing in vitro p53 modforms

Caroline J. DeHart^{1,2}, Luca Fornelli¹, Lissa C. Anderson², Jacek W. Sikora¹, Deepesh Agarwal³, Dan Lu³, Philip D. Compton¹, Christopher L. Hendrickson², Paul M. Thomas¹, Galit Lahav³, Jeremy Gunawardena³, and Neil L. Kelleher¹

¹National Resource for Translational and Developmental Proteomics, Northwestern University, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL; ³Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA







Linear Equations —	→ LP Algorithm
Isobaric Modforms	Constrained Modform Region
$a_{13} + a_{22} = \mu_1$ $a_{11} + a_{25} = \mu_2$ 	(Id-L)(S)
$a_{13} + a_{15} + a_{26} = \mu_6$ $a_{11} + a_{19} + a_{29} = \mu_7$ Isomeric Peptides	···(S. Aj)(S)



Phosphorylation sites identified on Chk1-treated rp53 by Craig AL, Chrystal JA, Fraser JA, Sphyris N, Lin Y, Harrison BJ, Scott MT, Dornreiter I, Hupp TR.,



Coverage map showing the 22 distinct combinations of phosphorylation sites identified on the C-terminus of Chk1-treated rp53 by combined top-down and bottomup MS. 5 of the 16 sites predicted by bottom-up MS were

Top: Example peptide peak from MRM analysis of unmodified rp53, showing the fragment ion coverage resulting from CID MS2. Bottom: Example peptide peaks from MRM analysis of Chk1-treated rp53, showing both the different relative abundance of both peptide species

We will compare the modform distributions between CHK1 and CHK2 phosphorylated p53, in order to address whether it is the differences in specific PTMs or the distribution of PTMs on p53 that cause distinct dynamic